

Alan M. Fisch (*pro hac vice*)
alan.fisch@fischllp.com
R. William Sigler (*pro hac vice*)
bill.sigler@fischllp.com
FISCH SIGLER LLP
5301 Wisconsin Avenue NW
Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20015
Tel: 202.362.3500
Fax: 202.362.3501

Ken K. Fung (SBN: 283854)
ken.fung@fischllp.com
FISCH SIGLER LLP
400 Concar Drive
San Mateo, CA 94402
Tel: 650.362.8207
Fax: 202.362.3501

Counsel for Plaintiffs
Juniper Networks, Inc.
and Apstra, Inc.

Leo R. Beus (*pro hac vice*)
Michael K. Kelly (*pro hac vice*)
K. Reed Willis (*pro hac vice*)
BEUS GILBERT PLLC
Attorneys at Law
701 North 44th Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85008-6504
Telephone: (480) 429-3000
Facsimile: (480) 429-3001
Email: lbeus@beusgilbert.com
mkelly@beusgilbert.com
rwillis@beusgilbert.com

Allan Steyer (SBN: 100318)
Suneel Jain (SBN: 314558)
STEYER LOWENTHAL BOOD-
ROOKAS ALVAREZ & SMITH LLP
235 Pine Street, 15th Floor
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 421-3400
Facsimile: (415) 421-2234
Email: asteyer@steyerlaw.com
sjain@steyerlaw.com

Counsel for Defendant
Swarm Technology LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. and
APSTRA, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SWARM TECHNOLOGY LLC,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:20-cv-03137-JD

**STIPULATION EXTENDING
DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFFS TO
RESPOND TO SWARM'S
OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO
DISMISS COUNTERCLAIMS**

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2(a) and 7-12, Plaintiffs, Juniper Networks, Inc. and Ap-
2 stra, Inc. (collectively “Plaintiffs”), and Defendant, Swarm Technology LLC (“Swarm”), stipu-
3 late, subject to the Court’s approval, to extend the deadline for Plaintiffs to respond to Swarm’s
4 Opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion to Dismiss Counterclaims Or Stay (Dkt. No. 76) from March 4,
5 2022 to March 11, 2022. Plaintiffs seek the requested relief due to unexpected conflicts and com-
6 mitments in other matters this week. Swarm has agreed to the extension.

7 No other deadlines will be impacted by this extension of time, including the April 7, 2022
8 scheduled hearing date on Plaintiffs’ motion to dismiss. The parties have previously stipulated to
9 two extensions for Swarm to respond to the original complaint (Dkt. Nos. 16-17), an extension
10 for Juniper to respond to Swarm’s original motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 21), an extension for
11 Plaintiffs to respond to Swarm’s motion to dismiss the amended complaint, for Swarm to file its
12 reply in support of its motion to dismiss, and the hearing on Swarm’s motion to dismiss (Dkt.
13 Nos. 41-42), and an extension for Plaintiffs to respond to Swarm’s counterclaims (Dkt. No. 68).

14 Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the Court enter this stipulation.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 2, 2022

By: /s/ Ken K. Fung

Ken K. Fung

Counsel for Plaintiffs

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. and APSTRA, INC.

Dated: March 2, 2022

By: /s/ Suneel Jain

Suneel Jain

Counsel for Defendant

SWARM TECHNOLOGY LLC

Filer's Attestation: I attest that counsel for the parties have concurred in this filing.

/s/ Ken K. Fung

Ken K. Fung

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated:

/s/_____